

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

In this chapter, the writer provides the conclusion of the study and he also offers some suggestions based on the data analysis.

5.1 Conclusion

After analyzing and then discussing about the errors committed by the participants in writing descriptive text, it can be concluded that:

1. The errors made by the participants are omission, addition, misformation and misordering. The total number of the errors made by the participants in constructing descriptive text is 169 errors. The frequency of omission error is 88 (52, 07%), addition error is 7 (5, 32%), misformation error is 44 (26, 03%) and misordering error is 26 (16, 56%).
2. The most common error made by the participants in writing descriptive text is Omission then followed by misformation, misordering and then addition error.

5.2 Suggestion

The writer would like to give some suggestions to the teacher and the participants that might be useful in improving participants' writing especially in writing descriptive text.

1. The teachers should teach the students more about the use suffix s or es in singular and plural form and the correct way in arranging their sentence.

2. The students should learn and understand the simple present tense and do a lot of practice by reading many examples of descriptive text, and writing a descriptive text individually.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Choironi, N., Sukirlan, M., & Suparman, U. (2017). *Error Analysis of Students' Writing Descriptive Text at Second Grade of SMAN 1 Pesisir Tengah* (Doctoral dissertation, Lampung University)
- Dulay. Et al. (1982). *Language Two*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Dwita, & Abbas. (2019). *Solving the students' Problem in Writing Descriptive Text through attribute Charts Strategy*, University of Lancang Kuning.
- Errom, Kletus. (2019). "Practical Guidelines for Writing Research Report". Kupang: Widya Mandira Catholic University.
- Faisal, & Suwandita, K. (2013). The Effectiveness of FRESH Technique to Teach Descriptive Paragraph. *Journal of Education Learning*. 7 (4), 239-248.
Retrieved from: <http://www.journal.uad.ic.id/index.php/Edulern/download/191/pdf>
- Francis, W. (1954). Revolution in grammar. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 40(3), 299-312.
- Harmer, Jeremy. (2001). *The Practice of English Language Teaching (3rd Ed)*. Essex: Pearson Education Ltd.
- James, C. (1998). *Error in language learning and use: Exploring error analysis*. London: Logman.
- Kristono, et al. (2007). "The Bridge English Competence for SMP Grade VII". Perpustakaan Nasional.
- Leedy, P. & Ormrod, J. (2001). *Practical research: Planning and design (7th ed.)*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill Practice Hall. Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications.
- Madar, Alexius and Clemens Ataburan. (2016). *Course outline Error Analysis*. Kupang: Widya Mandira Catholic University.
- Pardiyono. (2006). *Writing Clause for Better Writing Competence*. Yogyakarta: Andi Press.

- Richard, Jack. (1974). *Error Analysis: Perspective On Second Language Acquisition*. London: Longman Group Ltd.
- Ramadani, Eko Putri. (2015). *The Error Analysis of Students' Grammar in Descriptive Text at the Eighth Grade Students of MTS Ma'Arif Kepil in the Academic year of 2015/2016*. Thesis. Purworejo: Purworejo Muhammadiyah University.
- Rahayu, T. S. (2019). *An Analysis of Students' Errors in Writing Descriptive Text at State Vocation High School 5 Pekanbaru*. (Doctoral dissertation, Universitas Islam Negeri Sultan Syarif Kasim Riau).
- Sudaryanto. (1990). *Metode dan Aneka Teknik Analisis Bahasa*. Yogyakarta: Duta Wacana University.
- Syaputri, D., & Masita, S. (2018). Analysis Of The Students' Error In Writing Descriptive Text. *EduTech: Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan Dan Ilmu Sosial*, 4(1)
- Sudjiono, A. (2009). *Pengantar Statistik Pendidikan*. Jakarta: Raja Grafindo Persada
- Simanjuntak, M. (2016). Errors in Students' Writing of Procedure Text in Eighth Grade Students of SMP Negeri 1 Jorlang Hataran. Medan: Unpublished Thesis.
- Wyrick, Jean. 1987. *Steps to writing well*. New York: Rinehart and Winston. Inc.